SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S179194 H034040 Sixth Appellate District BAKER (CHRISTINE L.) v.

WORKERS'

COMPENSATION APPEALS

BOARD & X.S.

Rehearing denied; opinion modified

S182508 A123726 First Appellate District, Div. 4 SEABRIGHT INSURANCE

COMPANY v. U.S. AIRWAYS,

INC./(LUJAN)

Petition for rehearing & request(s) for modification denied The petition for rehearing or request for modification are denied. Werdegar, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S195152 C061110 Third Appellate District CONCERNED CITIZENS FOR

RESPONSIBLE

GOVERNMENT v. WEST POINT FIRE PROTECTION

DISTRICT

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S195423 D059012 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. VANGELDER

(TERRY)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

S196200 A125542 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BUZA (MARK)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S195821 E054175 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 STREETER, JR., (HOWARD L.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review granted; transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to issue an alternative writ

The petition for review is granted.

The matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, with directions to vacate its August 12, 2011, order denying the petition for writ of mandate and to issue an alternative writ, to be heard before that court when the proceeding is ordered on calendar. (See In re Steele (2004) 32 Cal.4th 682, 688, 698.)

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Liu, JJ.

S194301

AVERY, SR., (MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.

H035939 Sixth Appellate District S195347 PEOPLE v. BURPEE (TODD DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S195356 H036378 Sixth Appellate District **BURPEE (TODD DAVID) ON** H.C.

Petition for review denied

H034797 Sixth Appellate District S195392 PEOPLE v. BURPEE (TODD

DAVID)

Petition for review denied

F060655 Fifth Appellate District S195393 PEOPLE v. VILLA (HASANI)

Petition for review denied

D058413 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S195436 HARTLEY (RUTH S.) v. S.C.

(MONEX DEPOSIT

COMPANY)

S195439 D056159/D056171 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. ROMAN (BRIAN ARTHUR)**

Petitions for review denied

S195460 H037149 Sixth Appellate District DELGADO (JAVIER

TORRES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S195481 B234533 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 ALDERETE (RAUL CARRILLO) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S195565 B221103 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 SEMLER (RONALD H.) v.

GENERAL ELECTRIC
CAPITAL CORPORATION

Petition for review denied

Chin and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S195603 B225838 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. WILKERSON

(SATCHELL)

Petition for review denied

S195612 D057779 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 CITIZENS FOR

RESPONSIBLE EQUITABLE

ENVIRONMENTAL

DEVELOPMENT v. CITY OF

CHULA VISTA (TARGET

CORPORATION)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

Kennard, J., was recused and did not participate.

S195616 C064458 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. PECK, JR.,

(CHARLES ROBERT)

S195624 E051583 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. JAMES (EZEKIAH TIERRONE)

Petition for review denied

S195635 F061306 Fifth Appellate District

CALAVERAS TELEPHONE COMPANY v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (PUBLIC UTILITIES

COMMISSION, DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES)

Petition for review denied

S195658 F061287 Fifth Appellate District

PONDEROSA TELEPHONE COMPANY v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CALAVERAS TELEPHONE

COMPANY)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S195666 B227950 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA, INC. v. S.C. (HOLLAND)

Petition for review denied

S195669 F060297 Fifth Appellate District

Petition for review denied

A. (DUSTIN) ON H.C.

S195707 F061259 Fifth Appellate District

TELEPHONE COMPANY v.

PUBLIC UTILITIES

HAPPY VALLEY

COMMISSION (CALAVERAS TELEPHONE COMPANY)

Petition for review denied

S195710 F061461 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DAVIS (KIRK

MONROE)

Petition for review denied

S195745

S195711	F059519 Fifth Appellate District	DAVIS (KIRK MONROE) ON H.C.
Petition for rev	view denied	

B232709 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. S.C. (WRIGHT)**

S195751	B225824 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	PEOPLE v. CLARK (JAMES)
Petition for review denied		

S195802	B223451 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. MERCADO
		(MONICA)
Petition for re	eview denied	

S195804	B230947 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	MERCADO (MONICA) ON
		H.C.
Petition for re	view denied	

S195812	H034729 Sixth Appellate District	PEOPLE v. JIMENEZ (JOSE ENRIQUE)
Petition for r	eview denied	Livingel)

S195814	B216742 Second Appellate District, Div. 3	FAIRBANKS (PAULINE) v.
		FARMERS NEW WORLD
		LIFE INSURANCE CO.
Petition for re	eview denied	

S195832	C063218 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. JACKSON
		(ISSIAH W.)
Petition for	review denied	

Baxter, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S195850	B222689 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	BROWN (TERRI) v. RALPHS GROCERY COMPANY
Petition for re	view denied	

S195853 C068589 Third Appellate District HIRSCHFIELD (RICHARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S195868 B211398 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PORTER (JOHN) v. WYNER (STEVEN)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S195923 A128324 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. FELIX (TYLO)

Petition for review denied

S195953 D056683 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. PARKINSON (JOHN FENTON)

Petition for review denied

S195958 H034399 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CHAVEZ (HUGO)

Petition for review denied

S195991 B234976 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 MACHADO (DELFINO) ON

H.C. Petition for review denied

S196028 B228284 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 RAMIREZ (MARTIN A.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196032 F060334 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. MUNIS (EDWIN

VINCENT)
Petition for review denied

S196036 B225595 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ

(BOBBY TYLER)

S196043 B214315 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. JUAREZ (RICARDO)

Petition for review denied

S196057 E054264 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 WILLIAMS (CURTIS JOHN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196072 C064134 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. LACY (ROBERT LEE)

Petition for review denied

S196128 C063593 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. VELAZQUEZ (EMILIO NAVARRO)

Petition for review denied

S196139 A132190 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PORTER (NATHAN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196143 H037240 Sixth Appellate District PENA (IGNACIO) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196145 F060596 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. SEE (BOUNTHAN)

Petition for review denied

S196189 B235321 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 ZERMENO (GREGORY) ON

H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196195 C065364 Third Appellate District LEE (DON H.) v. CARROLL

(ROBERT C.)

S196202 E051438 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SMITH, JR., (LARRY DONNELL)

Petition for review denied

S196222 B224356 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. MORENO (EDWARD)

Petition for review denied

S196259 F060030 Fifth Appellate District DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION v. BAKKER (CHARLES W.)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S196293 B235504 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 BIRKE (MELINDA) v. S.C. (OAKWOOD WORLDWIDE)

Petition for review denied

S196311 G044075 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SALCIDO (TRACY LYNN)

Petition for review denied

S196328 B234894 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 WALCOTT (DARRYL) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S196330 C065503 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. WHITTEMORE

(MELODY ANNE)

Petition for review denied

S196331 C064782 Third Appellate District IN RE A.C.

Petition for review denied

S196333 F060717 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. HUNT

(FREDERICK DEMETRIUS)

S196337 B224617 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SANFORD (VENSON)

Petition for review denied

S196338 A132720 First Appellate District, Div. 1 SMITH (LESLIE GORDON) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196339 F060576 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. BENNETT (JOHNNIE ALBERT)

Petition for review denied

S196343 E051505 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BAYLARK (JAMES MATTHEWS)

Petition for review denied

S196346 E050928 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. TONEY (SHAWN

DE JON)

Petition for review denied

S196347 HUJAZI (MONICA) v. COURT

OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION TWO (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

The petition for writ of mandate to exhaust state remedies is denied.

S196348 C068899 Third Appellate District LEWIS (TORREY) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196349 B235266 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 HERDMAN (MITCHELL) ON

H.C.

S196355 B235269 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 NEAL (FREDDIE) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196360 E053574 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 STREETER, JR., (HOWARD

L.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review denied

S196362 F060406 Fifth Appellate District HOLDER (MICHAEL) v.

TURLOCK UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Petition for review denied

S196368 D060078 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 BARTHOLOMEW (TROY)

ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196370 A129770 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. CASE (ALLAN

ROWAN)
Petition for review denied

S196384 H036441 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. GREENLAW (ROSEMARY BELLE)

Petition for review denied

S196391 A130076 First Appellate District, Div. 3 IN RE A.F.

Petition for review denied

S196421 F062696 Fifth Appellate District SEVIOR (SHANN) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196422 E052111 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BELLOWS (PATRICK EUGENE)

S196424 H035363 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. LOCHARD (MARC)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, S181963, and People v. Lara, S192784.

S196425 E051999 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. GAMEZ (DANIEL

MORIN)

Petition for review denied

S196431 E050864 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. CHIRIAC

(EMANUEL)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Shockley*, \$189462.

S196452

D056539 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS

(TOMMY LEE)

Petition for review denied

S196457

F062725 Fifth Appellate District

MAIDEN (DARYL) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S196462

B223322 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. ROSAS

(WILLIAM P.)

Petition for review denied

S196464

B226442 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

PEOPLE v. CARR

(TAUHEED)

Petition for review denied

S196465

F060386 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. BRADSHAW

(LAMONT DUSTIN)

LABARGE VINEYARDS LLC.

G043619 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. SCHUETZ S196467 (BRITTANY DEANNE)

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. PRITCHARD B221292 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 S196468 (PAUL)

Petition for review denied

S196469 G043825 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. VILLANUEVA (JESUS)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Shockley*, \$189462.

S196472 C069036 Third Appellate District **SOTO (EMILIO) ON H.C.**

Petition for review denied

B221040 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 S196494 PEOPLE v. MERCADEL

(DARRYLL) Petition for review denied

S196499 B222079 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 CARGASACCHI (PETER) v.

Petition for review denied

S196504 A129899 First Appellate District, Div. 5 **CUN (MARIA TUN) v. CAFE** TIRAMISU LLC.

Petition for review denied

D057699 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BRIDGEMAN S196508 (MICHAEL WAYNE)

Petition for review denied

S196512 B225581 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. CARR (TERRELL

GEROLD)

S196519 B235179 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

RITCHIE (ROSIE) v. S.C. (MR. WHEELS, INC.)

Petition for review denied

S196520 B235466 Second Appellate District, Div. 8

BUYCKS (JANEL) v. S.C.

(DALE, SR.)

Petition for review denied

S196522 B225427 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

PEOPLE v. JONES (RODNEY)

S196533 B235576 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

Petition for review denied

DAVIS (RODNEY) ON H.C.

S196585 THORNTON (WILLIAM

CECIL) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT,

DIVISION ONE (PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S196586 WILSON (LONNIE B.) v.

COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE

DISTRICT, DIVISION FOUR

(PEOPLE)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition denied

S197197 H037140 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ARTEAGA

(LORENZO)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S191178 RODRIGUEZ (RAUL) ON

H.C.

S191363 RODRIGUEZ (RAUL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191546 BARBOZA (LUIS E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191677 LASAPHANGTHONG (SAKHONE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied on the merits.

S191923 DALE (DEXTER E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S191990 DALE (DEXTER E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192034 DALE (DEXTER E.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192058 WITKIN (MICHAEL AARON)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192131 SINGER (DANA LAWRENCE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192590 PEDROZA (JAIRO BRAVO)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S192599 ESPINOZA (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192640 NGUYEN (HIEU) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S192714 LANCASTER (MARCUS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S192870 MARTINEZ (RONALD F.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193014 DE ADAMS (KENJUAN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S193135 CHAMPION (RICHARD

EUGENE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied as moot.

S193165 STEWART, SR., (ERICK

LATON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S193324 RODGERS (MORRIS) ON

H.C.

PATTERSON (BRYAN D.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S193333

CRAIG (DANTE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193335

ZANOLETTI (RAMON A.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193350

SHERWOOD (ROBIN LEE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S193351

HERNANDEZ (HENRY A.)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193354

GONZALEZ (BENITO

NUNEZ) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193355

TOWNSEND, JR., (WILLIAM)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193356

SANCHEZ (RUBEN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193363

SMITH (EDWARD) ON H.C.

S193364 MARTINEZ (JORGE ANTONIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193375 MURILLO (VICTOR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193381 ALDHIZER (KEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925.)

S193382 OROZCO (HERNAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193383 DUGAN (MARK) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193399 BERGERON (JOHN DOMINICK) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S193400 BEYETT (LYNN CHARLES)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S193415 COOPER (ANTHONY LEE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193418 RAMIREZ (ESTEBAN) ON

H.C.

S193420 NGUYEN (HUNG) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193429 LEGANS (VICTOR DARNELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S193434 WILLIS (FRANK EUGENE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193445 SERRANO (LORAINE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193605 BRYANT (REGINALD M.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193606 MUHAMMAD (SHAKA

SENEGAL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193614 WILLIAMS (ROBERT

ALLEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S193615 JOHNSON (JAMAL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193637 MARTINEZ (JUAN) ON H.C.

BARRIOS (DANIEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193651

JAMES (CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193659

ESTRADA (LEONARDO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193665

FULLER (THADDEUS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193699

BROOKS (RODNEY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193708

DEDMON (ANDRE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193713

WOODS (ANDRÉ LAMONT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S193715

RODRIGUEZ (FELIX M.) ON H.C.

S193721 SAVASTANO (KENNETH) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S193730 SIMPSON (RONALD FRANK)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193745 WILLIAMS (ROBERT LEE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193752 MENDOZA (MANUEL

MATA) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S193786 TERRY III (DEWEY STEVEN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S193818 GALLEGOS (RAMON BARAJAS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193819 DeLEON (JESUS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193840 BROWN (GREGORY

DWAYNE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193842 WASHINGTON (ASA) ON

H.C.

JACKSON (LUCIOUS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193891

JAMES III (LARRY D.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S193894

KNOWLES (DEON) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S193897

REED, JR., (LEE A.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193906

PEARSON (OSCAR MAURICE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S193907

WILEY, JR., (ODIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193914

PAARMANN (LARS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193940

SALGADO (VICTOR) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied as moot.

CARRION (JONATHAN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S193944

LEWIS (KEVIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S193959

COOPER (MICHAEL DEAN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S193968

STEWART (JAREY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S194019

CRUZ (LUIS VICENTE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S194842

CRAIG (DANTE J.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S196369

LAVADENZ (EDUARDO

MARIO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S196382

PEREZ (JUAN FRANCISCO)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S196602

COOPER (COLIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain*

(1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S196606

PUCKETT (DURRELL ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S196633

GRAHAM (RAPHAEL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S195486

G044596 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

ADVANCED REAL ESTATE

SERVICES, INC. v. S.C.

(CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF

GENERAL SERVICES)

Depublication request denied (case closed)

S196022

G043967 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

CRESAP (JILL) v.

PANAHPOUR (ALIREZA)

Publication request denied (case closed)

S196567

F061153 Fifth Appellate District

IN RE ROLANDO S.

Depublication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

The court declines to review this matter on its own motion. The matter is now final.

S093235

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JERROLD ELWIN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to December 13, 2011. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

PEOPLE v. KREBS (REX ALLAN)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neil B. Quinn's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by November 21, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 21, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S129501

PEOPLE v. MENDEZ (JULIAN ALEJANDRO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Meagan J. Beale's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by December 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 15, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S161036

KELLY (DOUGLAS OLIVER) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Laurence's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 12, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 12, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S167108

WATSON (PAUL GREGORY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Susan S. Kim's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 12, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 12, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

ADCOX (KEITH EDWARD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Wendy Peoples's representation that she anticipates filing the informal reply to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 4, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 4, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S191948

JOHN DOE v. HARRIS (KAMALA D.)

Extension of time granted

On application of plaintiff and appellee and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to December 13, 2011. No further extensions are contemplated.

S192828

B228732 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

LOS ANGELES, CITY OF & DOES 1 THROUGH 50 v. S.C. (ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS ASSOCIATION)

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to December 12, 2011.

S196214 B234114 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 ARGUETA (EMILIO) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to October 24, 2011. No further extension of time will be contemplated.

S195717 C065429 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. VERNI, JR., (JOSEPH ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Patricia J. Ulibarri is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

PEOPLE v. ENRACA (SONNY)

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant to allocate to amicus curiae Republic of the Philippines 10 minutes of appellant's 45-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S181611

G040151 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. NELSON (SAMUEL MOSES)

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant to allocate to amicus curiae Center for Wrongful Convictions of Youth 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S183737

B214707 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 IN RE C.H.

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellant to allocate to amicus curiae Loyola Law School Center for Juvenile Law & Policy et al., 10 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S187965

G038379 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. MOSLEY

(STEVEN)

Order filed

The application of appellant for permission to file an overlength answer brief on the merits is granted.

S194601

DOWD ON DISCIPLINE

Petition for writ of review denied; recommended discipline imposed The petition for a writ of review is denied.

The court orders that ROBERT EATON DOWD, State Bar Number 93284, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT EATON DOWD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. ROBERT EATON DOWD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed on May 26, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT EATON DOWD has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT EATON DOWD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility

Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in a suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

ROBERT EATON DOWD must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195346

BIEDEBACH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH, State Bar Number 152980, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH is suspended from the practice of law for the first fifteen months of probation;
- 2. JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 22, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If JAMES WILLIAM BIEDEBACH fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

WEBB ON DISCIPLINE

2024

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARK LOPERT WEBB, State Bar Number 67959, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MARK LOPERT WEBB is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Julie A. Follansbee in the amount of \$24,964.66 plus 10 percent interest per year from June 15, 2011 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Julie A. Follansbee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. MARK LOPERT WEBB must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 9, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARK LOPERT WEBB has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MARK LOPERT WEBB must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MARK LOPERT WEBB must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195350

BUTTERFIELD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ILSE MARIE BUTTERFIELD, State Bar Number 128888, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is

stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ILSE MARIE BUTTERFIELD is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. ILSE MARIE BUTTERFIELD must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 16, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ILSE MARIE BUTTERFIELD has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ILSE MARIE BUTTERFIELD must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195351

STEBLEY ON DISCIPLINE

2025

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CHARLES VICTOR STEBLEY, State Bar Number 158219, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CHARLES VICTOR STEBLEY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Jose Gutierrez-Vasquez in the amount of \$3,200 plus 10 percent interest per annum from June 21, 2005 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jose Gutierrez-Vasquez, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. He makes restitution to Jennifer Garcia in the amount of \$4,500 plus 10 percent interest per annum from May 12, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jennifer Garcia, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - iii. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - iv. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding conditions, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. CHARLES VICTOR STEBLEY must comply with the conditions of probation, if any,

imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension. CHARLES VICTOR STEBLEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

CHARLES VICTOR STEBLEY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195353

DAUGHETEE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RENEE MICHELLE DAUGHETEE, State Bar Number 257018, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RENEE MICHELLE DAUGHETEE must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 17, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RENEE MICHELLE DAUGHETEE has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RENEE MICHELLE DAUGHETEE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If RENEE MICHELLE DAUGHETEE fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195354

PAMILLA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA, State Bar Number 259931, is suspended

from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA is suspended from the practice of law for the first year of probation;
- 2. THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 20, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If THOMAS DAMIEN PAMILLA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195357

NYMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CARL WILLIAM NYMAN, State Bar Number 57915, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. CARL WILLIAM NYMAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. CARL WILLIAM NYMAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 20, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CARL WILLIAM NYMAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CARL WILLIAM NYMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If CARL WILLIAM NYMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195358

NISHIOKA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BRUCE MATSUO NISHIOKA, State Bar Number 153321, is suspended from the practice of law in California for six months, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BRUCE MATSUO NISHIOKA must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 22, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BRUCE MATSUO NISHIOKA has complied with the terms of probation, the six-month period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BRUCE MATSUO NISHIOKA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If BRUCE MATSUO NISHIOKA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195359

DEGRELL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT ARTHUR DEGRELL, State Bar Number 151498, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT ARTHUR DEGRELL is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 months of probation (with credit given for inactive enrollment, which was effective July 1, 2008, through March 27, 2011 (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6233));
- 2. ROBERT ARTHUR DEGRELL must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 20, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT ARTHUR DEGRELL has complied

with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT ARTHUR DEGRELL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. Costs must be paid with his membership fees for the year 2012. If ROBERT ARTHUR DEGRELL fails to pay costs as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, costs are due and payable immediately.

S195360

MORKEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN FRANKLIN MORKEN, State Bar Number 153979, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN FRANKLIN MORKEN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JOHN FRANKLIN MORKEN must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 20, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN FRANKLIN MORKEN has complied with all conditions of probation, the five-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN FRANKLIN MORKEN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JOHN FRANKLIN MORKEN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

MONTOYA-TORRES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VICENTA E. MONTOYA-TORRES, State Bar Number 97192, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. VICENTA E. MONTOYA-TORRES must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 23, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if VICENTA E. MONTOYA-TORRES has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

VICENTA E. MONTOYA-TORRES must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195362

McHENRY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JAMES PATRICK McHENRY, State Bar Number 179515, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JAMES PATRICK McHENRY is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first 90 days of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Jerry and Jacqueline Warren in the amount of \$2,499 plus 10 percent interest per year from July 23, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jerry and Jacqueline Warren, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. He makes restitution to Jeffrey and Kristin Godley in the amount of \$3,200 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 27, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Jeffrey and Kristin Godley, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - iii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be

terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)

- 2. JAMES PATRICK McHENRY must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 21, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JAMES PATRICK McHENRY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JAMES PATRICK McHENRY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JAMES PATRICK McHENRY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195363

FERGUS, JR., ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DONALD EDWARD FERGUS, JR., State Bar Number 87334, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DONALD EDWARD FERGUS, JR., is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. DONALD EDWARD FERGUS, JR., must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 13, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DONALD EDWARD FERGUS, JR., has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DONALD EDWARD FERGUS, JR., must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of

such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DONALD EDWARD FERGUS, JR., must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195368

HICKEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that SEAN CURTIS HICKEY, State Bar Number 159116, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. SEAN CURTIS HICKEY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. SEAN CURTIS HICKEY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 1, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if SEAN CURTIS HICKEY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

SEAN CURTIS HICKEY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If SEAN CURTIS HICKEY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195371

JAMES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LARRY PAUL JAMES, State Bar Number 183769, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. LARRY PAUL JAMES is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are

satisfied:

- i. He makes restitution to Thong Thai Vu in the amount of \$12,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 9, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Thong Thai Vu, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- ii. He makes restitution to Vijay Singh and Parmila Devi in the amount of \$3,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 19, 2005 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Vijay Singh and Parmila Devi, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
- iii. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. LARRY PAUL JAMES must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 24, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LARRY PAUL JAMES has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

LARRY PAUL JAMES must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195611

ABRAMS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DAVID IRVIN ABRAMS, State Bar Number 133545, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DAVID IRVIN ABRAMS must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 28, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

DAVID IRVIN ABRAMS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7

and as a money judgment.

S195617

BERCHAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT BERCHAN, State Bar Number 118869, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT BERCHAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. ROBERT BERCHAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 30, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT BERCHAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT BERCHAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If ROBERT BERCHAN n fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195618

ESCOBAR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PATRICIA JOAN ESCOBAR, State Bar Number 165758, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. PATRICIA JOAN ESCOBAR is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of 90 days, and she will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
 - ii. If she remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, she must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before her suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. PATRICIA JOAN ESCOBAR must comply with the conditions of probation, if any,

imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her suspension. PATRICIA JOAN ESCOBAR must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of her suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

PATRICIA JOAN ESCOBAR must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195619

GROSSBLATT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that FRED JAY GROSSBLATT, State Bar Number 82234, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. FRED JAY GROSSBLATT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195631

GULLA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL ANTHONY GULLA, State Bar Number 80133, is suspended from the practice of law in California for 30 days, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MICHAEL ANTHONY GULLA must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 21, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL ANTHONY GULLA has complied with the terms of probation, the 30-day period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MICHAEL ANTHONY GULLA must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195632

HUMPHRIES ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ERIN H. HUMPHRIES, State Bar Number 110669, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ERIN H. HUMPHRIES must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195634

JOHNSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM EDWARD JOHNSON, State Bar Number 132436, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WILLIAM EDWARD JOHNSON n is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. WILLIAM EDWARD JOHNSON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 22, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WILLIAM EDWARD JOHNSON has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WILLIAM EDWARD JOHNSON must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) WILLIAM EDWARD JOHNSON must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195636

LOOMIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS, State Bar Number 110940, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Roy C. du Plessis in the amount of \$13,155.56 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 17, 2004 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Roy C. du Plessis, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 23, 2011.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If DAVID HAYDEN LOOMIS fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195642

PECEL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALEXANDER JOHN PECEL, State Bar Number 167229, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ALEXANDER JOHN PECEL must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 23, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ALEXANDER JOHN PECEL has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ALEXANDER JOHN PECEL must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If ALEXANDER JOHN PECEL fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195645

RAY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SARA SMITH RAY, State Bar Number 140564, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

SARA SMITH RAY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195646

RUCKER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that FRED RUCKER, State Bar Number 82754, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. FRED RUCKER is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to James Carson in the amount of \$500.00 plus 10 percent interest

per year from March 12, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to James Carson, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;

- ii. The State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and
- iii. Fred Rucker must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. FRED RUCKER must comply with the conditions of probation, if any, imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his suspension.

FRED RUCKER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

FRED RUCKER must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195648

SMITH ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SANDRA JEAN SMITH, State Bar Number 211060, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

SANDRA JEAN SMITH must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 20, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

SANDRA JEAN SMITH must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195649

STACY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROGER DALE STACY, State Bar Number 208500, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROGER DALE STACY is suspended from the practice of law for the first one year of probation;
- 2. ROGER DALE STACY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 21, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROGER DALE STACY has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROGER DALE STACY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) ROGER DALE STACY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If ROGER DALE STACY fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S195652

STERNBERG ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ALAN J. STERNBERG, State Bar Number 48741, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ALAN J. STERNBERG is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. ALAN J. STERNBERG must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 20, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ALAN J. STERNBERG has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ALAN J. STERNBERG must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195653

TURPIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CHRISTOPHER LaVAR TURPIN, State Bar Number 210177, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. CHRISTOPHER LaVAR TURPIN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S195655

WHITE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RONALD WHITE, State Bar Number 85723, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RONALD WHITE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. RONALD WHITE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on June 20, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RONALD WHITE has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RONALD WHITE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) RONALD WHITE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

B224964

Second Appellate District, Div. 2

DEVLIN (HEATHER) v. TODD SHEMARYA ARTISTS, INC.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Two to Division Seven.

B230876

Second Appellate District, Div. 7

ALDEN (ERIC) v. VENBROOK INSURANCE SERVICES LLC.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Seven to Division Two.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 997)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR SAN FRANCISCO SESSION NOVEMBER 8, 9 and 10, 2011 SECOND AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on November 8, 9 and 10, 2011.

<u>TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2011 — 9:00 A.M.</u>

(1)	S166350	Brinker Restaurant Corp. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County					
(2)	S183523	(Hohnbaum et al., Real Parties in Interest)					
(2)	S183323 S181611	Rossa et al. v. D. L. Falk Construction, Inc.					
(3)	3101011	People v. Nelson (Samuel Moses)					
<u>1:30 P.M.</u>							
(4)	S183737	In re C.H.					
(5)	S080947	People v. Enraca (Sonny) [Automatic Appeal]					
(6)	S093754	People v. Brents (Gary Galen) [Automatic Appeal]					
(-)		r. r					
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2011 — 9:00 A.M.							
(7)	S182042	People v. Maultsby (William Frederick)					
()		(Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., not participating; Bruiniers, J., assigned justice pro					
		tempore)					
(8)	S177401	O'Neil et al. v. Crane Co. et al.					
(9)	S191020	People v. Ahmed (Amir A.)					
` /							
<u>1:30 P.M.</u>							
(10)	S186661	People v. Cravens (Seth)					
(11)	S120750	People v. Pearson (Kevin Darnell) [Automatic Appeal]					
(12)	S055652	People v. Fuiava (Freddie) [Automatic Appeal]					
()							
<u>THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2011 — 9:00 A.M.</u>							
(13)	S194861	California Redevelopment Assn. et al. v. Matosantos et al.					
Chief Justice							
Omej unime							

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)